



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2001

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/315,806	05/21/1999	MARTIN M. DENEROFF	15-4-737.00 6955		
7:	590 11/23/2001				
BAKER & BOTTS L L P 2001 ROSS AVENUE DALLAS, TX 752012980			EXAMINER		
			MYERS, PAUL R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2181		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/315,806		DENEROFF ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Paul R. Myers		2181				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHO THE M - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini rill apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time from of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation <sub>.</sub>			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	<u>1ay 1999</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-fi	nal.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirer	ment.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.						
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exan	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) 🔲 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approve	d b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		<b>;</b>			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting	visional application	on has been rece	eived.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Attachment		o priority unuer 3	o o.o.o. 33 120	GHG/OF 121.				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	′=		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/315,806

Art Unit: 2181

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-15 and 17-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Amini et al PN 5,396,602.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amini et al PN 5,396,602.

In regards to claims 3,16 and 20: Amini et al teaches the well known standard centralized PCI bus arbitration claimed above. Amini et al however teaches the older PCI standard of 33 Mhz and not the newer 66 or 100 Mhz PCI bus standards. Official notice is taken that the 66 Mhz PCI and 100 Mhz PCI bus standards are very common in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the well known standard arbitration method described by Amini et al comply with 66 Mhz and 100 Mhz

Application/Control Number: 09/315,806

Art Unit: 2181

PCI buses because this would have prevented the arbitration method of Amini et al from becoming out of date.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

PN 6,212,590 B1 to Melo et al, PN 6,209,053 B1 to Kurts, PN 6,185,520 B1 to Brown et

al, PN 5,778,194 to McCombs and PN 5,625,824 to Melo et al are all also cited as relevant to the

claimed standard arbitration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul R. Myers whose telephone number is 703 305 9656. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Beausoleil can be reached on 703 305 9713. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 9051 for regular

communications and 703 308 9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305 3900.

PRM

November 19, 2001

Poul Bys

Page 3